STATEMENT B.—of Obalapura Block, Challakere Taluk, Chitaldrug District.

| Serial Number | Names of claimants | Date of presentation of claims | Nature and extent of claims preferred | Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims | Brief summary of the Forest Officer's arguments and evidence produced by him | Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement Officer | Brief abstract of decision, if any, of court of final appeal and settlement. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Raiyats of Obalapura and Donehalli Villages. | | No claims were preferred. Their representation is that— If their kavals are included in the Forest, their cattle will have no place for grazing. They have no good pasturage (gomal) for their cattle. There are three temples i.e., Siddappa, Maramma and Akkamma. They have to go to the temple for seva frequently. Their cattle will have no access to go to drink water. Hence they pray that these lands should not be included in the Forest. | | As lumpsum grazing system provides necessary concession to raiyats, these numbers may be included in the State Forest. | As the raiyats have agreed to pay lumpsum grazing fees, they may be shown this concession. Right of way to the three temples may be allowed. Right of way for the cattle to drink water in the tank may also be allowed. These rights of ways are included in the above award. | |
| 2 | Sannabalayia, resident of Donehalli Village. | | Claims preferred are that— Phode No. 2 of Survey No. 65 of Donehalli Kaval measuring 20 acres assessed at Rs. 7-8-0 is in his khate. He has jali trees. If this land is included in the Forest, he will be put to great hardship. Hence he prays that it should be excluded from the Forest. | | It would be better if these lands under cultivation are also included in the forest as the boundary will be easier to demarcate. | As this extent of 20 acres (Survey No. 65—2) is under cultivation and is yielding a Land Revenue of Rs. 7-8-0, it is not fair to include this extent in the forest as the raiyat will be put to hardship and Government will loose its revenue. I am of opinion that this extent may be excluded from the forest. Survey No. 65/1, measuring 25 acres and assessed at Rs. 9-6-0 per annum belonging to Kalli Rangappa, may also be excluded for the same reason. The party was absent. | |
| 3 | Mr. M. Bajjappa, President, Lakshminarasimhaswami Co-operative Society, Obalapura, Challakere Taluk. | 16th December 1936. | The claims preferred are that— Survey No. 94 of Obalapura Village measuring 31 acres and 7 guntas assessed at Rs. 8 is now in the possession of the Society. There are heavy arrears against this land. Hence he prayes that it should be excluded from the forest. | | It is better from the point of view of boundary line to include it in the forest. | As this land is in the possession of the Co-operative Society and is giving a Land Revenue of Rs. 8 per annum, I am of opinion that this land may be excluded from the forest and the demarcation line taken 110 yards from the edge of cultivation. | |
| 3a | Survey No. 91 Extent 19 acres and 29 guntas Assessment Rs. 6. | | No representations; the owners absent. | | This number is cultivated and being close to the proposed boundary may be excluded from the Reserve. | Survey No. 91 may be excluded as it is close to the proposed boundary and the demarcation line taken 110 yards from the edge of cultivation. | |
| 4 | Thimmaiya, Narasaiya and Gowraiya, residents of Donehalli Village. | | The claims preferred are that— Survey No. 50 of Donehalli Village measuring 38 acres and 39 guntas assessed at Rs. 9-8-0 is in their joint khate. They have grown jali and honge trees in this land for being used as manure for their wet and garden lands. If this is included in the forest they will be put to great hardship. Hence they pray that this land may be excluded from the forest. | | Do ... | This land also may be excluded as it is under occupation and yielding a Land Revenue of Rs. 9-6-0 per annum. Otherwise it will cause a good deal of hardship to the raiyat. The demarcation line may be taken 110 yards from the edge of cultivation. | |
| 5 | Thopuru Govindappa, resident of Donehalli Village. | | The claims preferred are that— Survey No. 46 of Donehalli Kaval Village measuring 7 acres and 18 guntas assessed at Rs. 3-8-0 is in his khate. He has grown honge trees in this land at a considerable expense for being used as manure for his wet and garden lands. If this is included in the forest he will be put to a loss. Hence he prays that it should not be included in the forest. | | This is not included in the forest. | No action is necessary as this land is not included in the proposed forest. | |
| 6 | Raiyats of Katamdevarakote Village. | | No claims were preferred. Their representation is that— Their village contains a good number of cattle and sheep. If Survey Nos. 58 and 60 are included in the forest, they will be put to inconvenience for want of grazing lands for their cattle. Hence they pray that these two numbers should be excluded from the forest. | | These may be included in the State Forest as already proposed as the raiyats' claims are not sustainable. | As Survey No. 58 is an assessed waste land relinquished to Government and as Survey No. 60 is a kharab land included in the annual sale of hulbanni lands, the contention of the raiyats cannot be accepted. These lands may be included in the forest and the raiyats allowed to graze their cattle in the forest under the lumpsum grazing fee system. | |

D. NARASIMHAMURTHY RAO,
*District Forest Officer,*
*Chitaldrug District.*

B. SURYANARAYANA RAO,
*Forest Settlement Officer,*
*Chitaldrug District.*